

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/231,415	31,415 01/14/1999		DON P. WOLFE	AUTOB.043A	3041
20995	7590	04/23/2002			
		IS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
SIXTEENT	NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE KTEENTH FLOOR			CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS	
NEWPORT	NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3624	
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MI

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09 <i>/</i> 231,415	WOLFE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kelly Campen	2164					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH	S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 F</u>	ebruary 2002						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 110(6	1)-(d) 51 (i).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/231,415

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25 and 58-76, drawn to a method of management, classified in class
 705, subclass 26.
- II. Claims 26-42, drawn to a purchase request system, classified in class 709, subclass 135.
- III. Claims 43-57, drawn to an data center apparatus, classified in class 707, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product.

Application/Control Number: 09/231,415

Art Unit: 2164

Inventions Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions they have different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant is to choose Group I, applicant should choose one from each set of species listed below:

- A. Type of property
- B. Type of user
- C. Type of acting
- D. Type of purchase request
- E. Type of buyer terminals
- F. Type of dealer terminals

If applicant is to choose Group II, applicant should choose one from each set of species listed below: **choose one figure** for the embodiment of Group II.

Application/Control Number: 09/231,415

Art Unit: 2164

If applicant is to choose Group III, applicant should choose one from each set of species listed below: choose one figure for the embodiment of Group III.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Arthur Rose on 4-22-02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2164

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 5

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kelly Campen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0780. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-9051 for regular

communications and (703) 308-5397 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

April 22, 2002

XINCENT MILLIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100